

32. Women's Rights Part 2

A suicidal maiden was saved within seconds from plunging into the icy ocean. Slated to marry a rich handsome man, she cried that life is much better for men than women. So her rescuer taught her to hurl spit, curse, fight, abuse alcohol, and introduced a vagabond life of fornication to replace her stable household. He was her “hero” (utterly delusional premise to the movie *Titanic*).⁽³³⁾ Read chapter 31 first if you haven't already. For my “conservative” readers, know that most “conservatives” unwittingly support feminism and liberal agendas. So the following chapter should be a brutal awakening.

Transgenderism

Though personal differences must be affirmed, genders and their roles are not interchangeable. Besides being foreign to the early Church, the ironclad foundation of fixed genders and gender roles was consistent until the dawning of the twentieth century. Churches actively promoting feminism is a shocking turnaround from the Church Jesus Christ established. Rare instances of gender fluidity, identical gender roles, and matriarchy never involved society at large.

There are significantly more male-to-female transsexuals than female-to-male transsexuals; an approximately 3 to 1 ratio.^(34,35) No contrary statistics exist. Certainly gender nonconformists were that way since childhood; their inherent personality causes their nonconformity. Though no statistics exist on how many people have gender dysphoria and desire to be the opposite sex, it's clear, both statistically and by universal observation, that there are far more male-to-female transsexuals.

This disproportionate ratio is inescapable. Consider the median odds of flipping a coin both heads and tails forty thousand times: twenty thousand apiece. Flipping 30,000 heads and only 10,000 tails would be astronomically unlikely. And all unfertilized eggs being female instead of gender neutral is entirely unsubstantiated. The current formula XX (female) and XY (male) can be misleading; EX and EY (egg cell fertilized by either an X or Y chromosome) would help clarify things.

Since the disproportionate transsexual ratio is astronomically unlikely, undoubtedly many MTFs partially base their decision to undergo sex reassignment because females are much better off. And the standard female-to-male procedure is easier. Few FTMs are interested in adding anything on; they're happy with the rapid appearance change caused by testosterone injections and mastectomy.

As a child I observed an artist fashion a large lump of nondescript clay into a facsimile of a ballerina within an hour. I also watched sitcoms where female models declared that they were previously men. These incidents formed my first impressions of “sex changes.” However, actual transformations are diametrically opposite of such depictions. Besides the terribly expensive process taking many years, I found a video of gender reassignment surgery in progress too horrific to continue watching. Upon completion, individuals must continue taking hormones for the rest of their life; their body won't naturally produce the desired hormone level.

Male-to-females especially have difficulty blending in. Since bone structure is unalterable, many have large hands, feet, and other incongruent physical attributes which invite stigmatization. On the other hand, female-to-males acquire deep voices with no effort whatsoever, which large amounts of testosterone automatically change. In contrast, estrogen or castration never affects deep voices whatsoever. Even vocal cord surgery often fails, leaving one sounding unnatural. The only successful way of male-to-female voice transformation is forcing and molding one's voice for hundreds of hours to duplicate the desired voice. Then hundreds of hours of laser hair removal is required to remove beards and other unwanted hair.

Since some biological males do everything possible to assume a female identity (including Arabs in “chauvinistic” countries), the resulting identity is more stigmatized than any alleged stigmatization of biological females, and such individuals are very intelligent, it’s outrageous and offensive for feminists to complain about unfairness. If being female was so hard, or unfair, nobody would be desperate to become female, period. Again, biological male suicide rates quadruple female rates. So repent of complaining about being wronged while ignoring the greater hardships of others.

American Prostitutes

Everything about prostitutes from movies or television is false and most second-hand information is false. After some initial sweet talk, their true nature, which is entirely self-serving, eventually manifests itself. Although some abuse of women has influenced their decision to be involved with prostitution, pornography, or lascivious entertainment, in free countries, the vast majority of women aren’t victims of exploitation. The claim that North America harbors vast amounts of underground sex slavery is rubbish. Generally, women are fallen because they make more money per hour than most men make working a respectable job all week, by exploiting men’s relentless sexual urges.

Women’s plight is not caused by male domination; we don’t say that young men who prostitute themselves are victims of female domination. Moreover, prostitution is seen as so lucrative, some men make themselves up as women and chemically alter their bodies to join fallen women.

Although some men who visit prostitutes are predators, so are female prostitutes. They often coerce men into dangerous areas, where they can be victimized by thugs. Many elderly men just looking for company were beaten and robbed by the women themselves.⁽³⁶⁾ Some men were murdered by women prostitutes.^(37,38) Most male predators are the pimps, although most prostitutes don’t have a pimp. And young women’s parents are often responsible for causing a bad home life, while everyone who visits prostitutes is guilty. However, all males aren’t responsible. Besides, prostitutes entice customers more frequently than customers proposition prostitutes.

Adult women are responsible for their own actions. Unfortunate circumstances, though a mitigating factor, are never a justification. The Apostle Paul wrote that God never allows anyone to be tempted beyond their ability to resist ungodliness, but always provides a way to escape the situation (Corinthians 10:13). Furthermore, no Scripture passage nor early Christian writing reflected a whiff of sympathy for any prostitute; the popular notion that Mary Magdalene was a prostitute is blasphemy. Such practice was consistently blasted with a call to repentance. Besides, street prostitutes invariably become adulteresses, lazy bums, petty thieves, and compulsive liars, often engaging in other crimes if they don’t extricate themselves from that situation. Most prostitutes have been arrested. After their first apprehension, they are told where to get help; halfway houses are abundantly available. Most don’t want help; they often state that they like their freedom. Those who refuse all available help choose to leech off society and deserve no sympathy whatsoever.

The strategy of prostitutes is to spend the least amount of time for the maximum amount of money, taking money for nothing if possible. This defeats the notion that abuse by men causes women to act out or sexual compulsion. The sexual aspect is just a pretense for making money. Not only do prostitutes not love sex, most choose to do without boyfriends or romantic partners, let alone husbands. All forms of prostitution and pornography should certainly be illegal. It reduces people to pieces of meat and cash machines, destroys the possibility of loving relationships, spreads disease, leads to abortions, and causes people to lead unstable, irresponsible lives.

Prostitution does not benefit men; the addictive behavior drains away men’s money like vampires draining away blood. The temporary satisfaction breeds more long term un-satisfaction, which begs to be satisfied. And prostitutes never work on a sliding scale so poor men can afford them. However, don’t assume that

this activity is lucrative. The irresponsibility prostitution engenders causes the women to waste their money instead of investing it.

Both prostitutes and their customers are guilty. However, punishing prostitutes with prison and fines, while only punishing customers with fines is wise. Most customers hold jobs that contribute to society, which shouldn't be derailed, while prostitutes do not hold respectable jobs. Also, many prostitutes are drug addicts, putting money directly into drug dealer's hands. Female prostitution and pornography is a facet of women's freedom which harms everybody.

This disgraceful institution cannot be eliminated by moral lectures, pious intentions, and suchlike. The only way to curtail prostitution is creating the opportunity for early marriage as compatible with man's nature. And early marriage cannot be practical without numerous social conditions in place, which conditions should be the goal of every society. Feminism, however, creates the exact opposite situation, causing women to choose late marriage or perpetual singleness, thereby encouraging prostitution.

Male-centric Bias?

Despite nonsense about "the andocentric lens of the Gospel texts" and falsely equating anti-feminism with hating women, Jesus Christ sent the Holy Spirit to guide the Church; He didn't leave it to "androcentricism." Accusations that the early Church opposed women's equal rights because churchmen were bigoted contradicts the fact that early churchmen always supported equal rights for men of every different race.

When women were referred to as "inferior" the word simply meant lower in rank or under the authority of another, as sergeants are lower in rank to generals; not of poor quality or subject to poor treatment; word meanings change. Consider the meaning change in the word gay. The early Church fathers, besides denouncing feminism, clarified that they thought highly of women. For example, Saint John Chrysostom wrote "Notice love's high standard. By taking the premise that your wife should submit to you, as the Church submits to Christ, you should also take the same kind of careful sacrificial thought for her that Christ takes for the Church. Even if you must offer your own life for her, you must not refuse."

An imaginative claim is that early Christians couldn't handle certain things, so the Holy Spirit did not force the issue, introducing more mature concepts later. This contradicts Jesus, who said that the Spirit would guide them into all truth (John 16:13) which was fulfilled soon thereafter (Acts 2:1-8). Jesus was never known to back down on anything, unpalatable or not.

*****Conservative Fundamentalists Alert*****

Books on ministry to homosexual persons by Fundamentalist experts on the subject teach that:

Using the traditionalist interpretation, seven passages condemn homosexuality explicitly or implicitly (Gen.2:18-24, Gen.19:1-25, Lev.18:22, Lev.20:13, Rom.1:26-27, Cor.6:9-10, Tim.1:9-10).

Using the traditionalist interpretation, homosexuality is refuted by example: SEVERAL HUNDRED passages exemplify the monogamous hetero-sex ideal, while excluding homo-sex constructs.

So pro-gay theology is clearly radical revisionism.

But since this is a gender issue, I apply the same method to another gender issue: feminism.

Using the traditionalist interpretation, seven passages condemn feminism explicitly or implicitly (Gen.3:16, Cor.11:3, Cor.14:34-35, Colossians 3:18, Titus 2:5, Peter 3:1, Pet.3:5-6).

Using the traditionalist interpretation..... feminism is refuted by example: SEVERAL HUNDRED passages exemplify the patriarchal authoritarian ideal, while excluding feminist constructs.

So pro-feminist theology is clearly radical revisionism.

In the Bible women DID NOT:

- hold religious authority over Christian men or vocalize themselves in church
- earn a competitive wage
- hold men's jobs (nor did men have women's roles)
- partake in politics or vote
- own private property unless they were widows
- choose their mates (they were given or taken in marriage)
- refuse their husbands sexually
- make final decisions in their marriage I will explain these positions shortly.

Deborah (Judges 4) is an anomaly. However, Deborah was known as a prophetess, not prophet, unlike today's lack of distinctions, such as calling actresses actors. Deborah was married, unlike many feminists who forgo marriage. Also, this was when the Israelites fell into evil, and a man was too cowardly to assume leadership. Most importantly, women controlling men was clearly a punishment. Isaiah 3:11-12: "Woe unto the wicked!.....for women shall rule over them." Both Old and New Testaments CONSISTENTLY oppose gay lifestyles and feminism EQUALLY.

Some won't admit that Christendom completely disposed of its position on women's rights and adopted the position of nineteenth and twentieth century atheistic feminists. Instead, groups such as Christ Community Church condemn pro-gay churches such as Metropolitan Community Church for being unscriptural, while they themselves are pro-feminist. This blatant contradiction and cherry-picking is incredible.

Early Church Fathers on Women's Rights

Apostolic Constitutions claims to have been written by the apostles themselves numerous times; its internal evidence supports this. Considering the book a fourth century oral history of the apostles may be an attempt to mitigate its teachings. Regardless, it is an extensive masterpiece of the early Christians, doing a splendid job of expounding upon early Church principles.

Apostolic Constitutions Book I chapter 3 states: "Let the wife be obedient to her own husband, for 'the husband is the head of the wife.' But Christ is the head of that husband who walks righteously. And 'the head of Christ is God', His Father. Therefore, O wife, next to the Almighty..... and His beloved Son.....fear your husband and reverence him. Please him alone, rendering yourself acceptable to him in life's various affairs."

Book III chapter 9 states: "The woman is the body of the man, taken from his side, and subject to him, from whom she was separated for procreation of children. For says He, he shall rule over thee. For the principal part of the woman is the man, being her head." It claims to have been written by the apostles themselves numerous times and its internal evidence supports this. Late-dating the book is a cheap attempt to discount its teachings.

Apostolic Constitutions Book VI chapter 29 states: "Wives, be subject unto your own husbands and hold them in esteem. Serve them with fear and love, just as holy Sarah honored Abraham. For she was not comfortable calling him by his name. Rather, she called him lord."

Clement of Rome to the Corinthians (AD 96) states: "You [Corinthian Church] instructed wives to love their husbands, to which they are duty bound. You taught them that they should manage their household affairs appropriately, living the rule of obedience."

Early Church father Irenæus (AD 180) stated..... "Both nature and the Law place the woman in a subordinate condition to the man." Strangely, many men complain about foreign men taking some of their jobs, ignoring the fact that our women could potentially take half of men's jobs.

Ephesians 5:22, 24, and Colossians 3:18 state “Wives, submit yourselves unto your husbands, as unto the Lord. As the Church is subject unto Christ, so let the wives be to their own husbands in everything.” Quoting Clement of Alexandria’s *Stromata (or Miscellanies)* chapter 8 AD 195: “Since woman’s soul is the same as man’s, she will attain to the same virtue. However, as there is difference as respects the distinctive construction of the body, she is destined for child-bearing and housekeeping. The apostle says,.... ‘Know that the head of every man is Christ, and the head of the woman is the man.’ (from Corinthians 11:3 and Ephesians 5:23).....The ruling power is therefore the head. And if the Lord is head of the man who is head of the woman; the man, being the image and glory of God, is lord of the woman.”

In chapter 19 of *Miscellanies*, Clement said: “Let herself [the wife] earnestly aim at virtue, gaining her husband’s consent in everything. She should never do anything against his will----with the exception of what is necessary to virtue and salvation.”

According to early Christianity, women could not hold religious leadership over men or teach them. From Tertullian’s *On The Veiling of Virgins Chapter IX* (AD 207): “It is not permitted to a woman to speak in the Church (Timothy 2:11-12), neither to teach, baptize, offer, nor to claim to herself a lot in any manly function, not to mention the sacerdotal (priestly) office.” Deaconesses only ministered to other women in limited capacity, such as where sending a man to a woman would cause suspicion amongst believers, and for dealing with women’s personal matters inappropriate for men. (Men were responsible to take full leadership). Besides women never holding office, they never married without their parent’s consent; their parents gave them in marriage. (It was men’s responsibility to manage the household) Women could not own property unless they were widows. Upon remarrying, their new husband acquired property rights. (It was the Church’s responsibility to fully care for needy women)

Women couldn’t practice for warfare or engage in warlike sports (with men’s responsibility to be women’s protectors). Quoting Lactantius’s *Divine Institutes Chapter XXII* (AD 310): “Contrary to human custom and contrary to nature, [Plato]....thought that women should also engage in warfare, share in public counsels, undertake magistrates, and assume commands. Therefore, he assigned to them horses and weapons. It follows that he should have assigned to men wool, the loom, and the carrying of infants. He did not foresee its impossibility, since no nation has existed in the world so foolish or vain as to live in this manner.”

Quoting *Dialogue II of Sulpitius chapter VII* (AD 395) “Consider the facts of the case: when in his seventieth year, was Saint Martin served and waited upon at his meals, not by a free sort of widow, nor by a wanton virgin, but by a queen, who lived under the authority of a husband, and who was supported in her conduct by her husband’s entreaties, that she might be allowed so to act.” Summarily, it was always considered natural that men should rule over women. By rejecting this precept we lack faithfulness to Christianity.

Furthermore, within Scripture, the Son always obeyed the Father and only did His will, and the Spirit always obeyed the Son and only did His will, within the Holy Trinity. The triune human family (men, women, children) paralleled this exactly.

On Women’s Evil

Quoting Sirach 25:13 and 19: “Give me any plague, but the plague of the heart, and any wickedness, but the wickedness of a woman.” “All wickedness is but little to a woman’s wickedness.” The Church unanimously accepted Sirach as scripture for 1,500 years. Sirach remains in Catholic Bibles. *Tertullian On Women’s Apparel Book I chapter 1, AD 200*: “Woman, you are the Devil’s gateway. You led astray one whom Satan would not dare attack directly. It was your fault the Son of God had to die. Do not think to adorn yourselves above tunics of skins.” *St. John Chrysostom, AD 375*: “Amongst all savage beasts none is found so harmful as a woman.” *Bishop Gregory of Nazianzus, AD 380*: “Fierce are dragons and cunning are asps; but women have the malice of both.” *St. Jerome, AD 390*: “Woman is the root of all evil.” *St. Augustine, AD 400*: “Woman was

merely man's helpmate, a function pertaining to her alone. She is not the image of God as far as man is concerned; he by himself is God's image."

Chapter 14. Revival! explains the great importance of the early fathers. Also, *St. Albertus Magnus, Dominican theologian, 13th century*: "Be on guard with every woman, as if she were a poisonous snake and the horned Devil. In evil and perverse doings woman is cleverer, slyer, than man. Her feelings drive woman toward every evil." Martin Luther and later theologians had similar sentiments. Instead of using these quotes to dismiss early churchmen and Christianity in general, consider the following:

These quotes taken out of context do not mean that men are not evil; they are. It's that people are fooled into seeing women as sweet and good. Also, women are only evil when allowed to dominate men or if they're allowed to regulate their own affairs, not if men keep them under subjection. The understanding is that women are more susceptible to the Devil's influence since they are more emotional and less logical. Consider Countess Bathory, who tortured and murdered around 650 young women, then bathed in their blood.³⁹

Despite men's evil, they created and maintained great civilizations, while no civilization was ever matriarchal. Moreover, the sentiments of the early churchmen are vindicated by present day circumstances. Besides the examples of women's hatred of men in chapter 31, numerous Internet sites discuss the phenomenon of women convincing or tricking men into being castrated and mutilated. A site featuring extremely graphic pictures has around 5,000 followers.⁽⁴⁰⁾ Mentally disturbed men get into the position of losing their testicles and sometimes penis too, under unsanitary, dangerous conditions, without anesthetic, without medical care or knowledge, for the purpose of perverse gratification.

Women Given in Marriage

Some women exclaim "Nobody has a right to my body." Yet consider what they then choose to do with their bodies. Millions of women have been sexually active with numerous men, none of whom made a commitment. In contrast, being given in marriage resulted in being with only one man who was permanently committed. Other women have sex with dogs.⁴¹

Though modern women are not obligated to service a husband, their having multiple partners resulted in over fifty million American women having sexually transmitted diseases or infections. ⁽⁴²⁾ So the historical position says that nobody has access to anybody's body unless they are married to them. Modern women essentially say that nobody has access to their body except for the greater number of men that they act stupid and irresponsible with.

Other women go without men, but 23.3 percent of American women aged 16-64 received welfare in 2011, a record high.⁽⁴³⁾ We could say "Nobody has the right to my taxpayer money." Traditional values wound the personal pride of our Me Generations.

Women Voting

Women voting is unscriptural. Whenever men assembled to make decisions based on consensus in Scripture, that was a vote. Especially note Acts 6:1-6, where brethren, men alone, elected men only. Besides, women tend to vote for bigger government, which equals higher taxes. More men work than women, men generally earn more, and two-thirds of welfare recipients are women. So women elect officials to take men's money and give it to themselves, giving nothing in return; not companionship, cooking, cleaning, sex, or providing children. This is extortion. Besides, voting empowers women to remove men's authority and instate an authority of their choosing. This contradicts the Scripture principle that men should lead women. *The Scripturist* website gives other reasons against women voting. ⁽⁴⁴⁾ Besides, the idea that women are smarter than men, and therefore make better decisions concerning politics and other matters, is completely unsubstantiated. Rather, SAT test

scores strongly indicate that men are more intelligent than women.⁴⁵

Consequences of Autonomy

Children are as human and important as adults. But with equal rights, they wouldn't go to school or become educated, would only eat candy and ice cream, would run rampant and cause damage, children would bully other children to death, and whenever they didn't get their way, they would throw tantrums. If men had complete independence, they would sleep in instead of going to work, totally ignore their wives and children, and spend endless hours watching TV while drinking beer and belching. They might speak with unintelligible grunts and groans instead of a recognizable language. They might constantly beat each other up, shoot each other, and smash their cars together. Adultery and gambling were once illegal, but since men are free to gamble away their paycheck and legally commit adultery, families have been destroyed.

If women had complete independence, men would never find loving companions, never have families, and be burdened with all the shopping, cleaning, cooking, and housework, in addition to working long hours in undesirable subservient jobs. Other women might constantly scream like banshees or force men into bankruptcy. Women might create shrines to babies and toddlers, thereby instituting a new religion, and shop endlessly, spending their eyeballs out. Since feminism became dominant, some men are suffering the consequences of these very things.

Women being given in marriage and being required to perform the requisite marital duties is imperative. In fairness, men must support their wife and children financially, help raise and discipline their children, physically protect their family, help with errands and household chores, be faithful, and show their wives attention, affection, and respect. Also, governments should help struggling men provide comfortable homes for a potential family. Society would have to become closer to legally enforce these rules, instead of mesmerizing themselves with cable television, lawn manicuring, shopping, et cetera. Modernists say that people are basically good and evolve into higher civilizations, ideas I've refuted earlier. Again, Christianity taught that our world is degenerate and needs accountability. People cannot be left to their own devices.

They say if you throw a frog into a pot of boiling water he will jump out; but if you toss him into cool water and gradually increase the heat, he will remain in the pot and be cooked. Society accepted the premise that extreme social stooges are undeserving of dignity and healthy family relations, then accepted the premise that a large segment of "omega males" are unworthy of their traditional rights. Then we accepted the premise that wives should determine the frequency of sexual relations (which is sometimes never) instead of the Apostle's admonition (Corinthians 7:4).

Then liberals accepted the premise that the average man is an abuser. Now there's the utterly backwards notion that women should be the breadwinner and husband. Furthermore, titillating movies denigrate men as inferior beings, begging to be embraced as reality. Again, Christianity parallels the marital relationship with Christ's relationship to His bride the Church. As most people today have nothing to do with Christ except spit in His face, the man/woman relationship may be doomed.

Patriarchy Compared with Gender Neutrality

Even without the traditional imperative of patriarchal male-controlled societies, insurmountable differences separate men and women. Note:

1) Interpersonal Relationships: Most men make poor companions to each other since they are competitive and hierarchal, while most women are communal and social. So without the male/female relationship, men suffer while women don't. It's been said "Women need marriage like fish need bicycles."⁽⁴⁶⁾ Besides, most men have a natural sense of chivalry towards women, while women have no such inclination to men. Chivalry

is now exploited by women who complain endlessly, causing men to address demands that favor women and oppress men.

2) Natural Drives: Men's biology, much of which consists of high testosterone levels, is naturally designed to make them driven leaders. Women leading men not only is inconsistent with their biology, it frustrates men's biology, making for angry and disgruntled men. Many liberal men seem happy with this situation, but we'll see how long this lasts.

3) Misbehavior: With men and women working alongside each other, husbands spend all day with strange women, and wives spend all day with strange men, which hurts marriages. Then there's sexual harassment in the workplace. With women in the military, there's rampant sexual assault in the military. Sexual assault is also common on mixed college campuses. By enforcing separate gender roles, and thereby separate places of fraternization, all this would go away.

4) Children: If men want their own children they need women. But if women want their own children, artificial insemination allows them to dispose of men. And women cannot have children and also assume a man's role without handing their children over to strangers (day care centers). Being a working mother often harms the children.⁴⁷

5) Sex Drive: Everyone has three intense biological desires, which if not satisfied somehow, increase in intensity. They are: consuming nutrients, sleeping, and using the washroom. The fourth intense urge is that of sex. Though almost everyone has sexual desires, young men's volcanic urges are two hundred times greater than women's. These conditions are biologically fixed. Men cannot lack these impulses without a major physical disability, chemical irregularity, or old age. For women to have equally powerful desires, they would require enough testosterone to cause beard growth. Libido is so dependent on high testosterone levels, even men's lowered testosterone levels in old age (though several times that of women in their prime) causes a radical change. Famous philosopher Socrates said that when he no longer had a sex drive in old age, he was "released from the jaws of a wild beast."⁴⁸ Even then, the male body configuration encourages sexual desire while women's bodies don't.

By defining a drive as something that drives you or an intense compulsion, not mere desire, then only males have a sex drive. Some women claim to have overwhelming desires. Yet if a strange man propositions her, no matter who he is, her "overwhelming desires" conveniently disappear. If the average young man went two days without sexual release and an attractive woman made advances toward him, he could not resist. That's a drive. Women's desires can be characterized as the desire to read a book, which can be forgotten about or replaced with something else.

With all the melodramatic bedroom noises by women, endless blather about women's multiple orgasms, and women now sometimes initiating sex, many men are convinced that women have powerful desires. When I point out that women have no sex drive, men react; the truth wounds their pride. I've been there; as newlyweds my ex-wife initiated vigorous sex twice a day. But when she saw that she wasn't getting her way, all sex quickly vanished; she herself vanished not long thereafter. Sexual liberation cannot change men and women's respective biology any more than an open-minded person can run a car's transmission on milkshakes and enjoy the transmission fluid themselves.

Feminists love to claim that women's desire for sex is as strong as men's; it would discredit their ideology to acknowledge a sex-based difference in libido. Accepting this reality would undermine a key tenet of feminists that the captivation and bewitchment of men by attractive women is from men's poor social conditioning, instead of their neglected biological needs. Secondly, some women claim that they would love to act upon their lust just like men, but their superior willpower prevents it. Some women acknowledge that if most women acted upon their forbidden desires, they would suffer dire emotional consequences. But they view emotional differences between the sexes as an artificial social construct. And women worrying about getting

pregnant doesn't explain their avoidance of casual sex since they can only get pregnant on certain days; their cycle can be accurately calculated.

Another distortion is rape being strictly a violent crime, when the primary motive is sexual. This is like twisting the primary motive for bank robbery, money, into hostility towards bankers, and the motive for lions eating people being prejudice against humans, not hunger. The only experts on rape are rapists themselves, who are many times more likely to rape young attractive females than elderly women or males (unless males are far more accessible). See *Migrant Sexual Emergencies*.(49) For a scholarly treatise read *Aggression and Coercive Actions: A Social-Interactionist Perspective*.50

Besides, eunuchs: men lacking testicles, never rape anybody. Furthermore, eunuchs had a special place throughout history; they alone were entrusted to supervise the harems of kings and sultans. Their physical makeup ensured they would not violate the women; this was common knowledge. So reject this absolute nonsense that rape is not sexual-based.

When I was a teenager, women pandered the insane notion that numerous men were being violently raped by women, with no documentation whatsoever. Today, some men complain of being raped by women as underage boys. However, calling these cases of sexual impropriety rape is offensive to victims of violent rape. And this distortion avoids the obvious: every man having a willing wife would reduce men's sexual frustration, thereby decreasing incidences of rape.

On the most popular website for those seeking sexual encounters, the women seeking men subsection has tens of thousands of postings.(51) None of these are legitimate; they are either scammers seeking credit card information, women advertising erotic webcam dancing, prostitutes, or men sending fake posts. So much for women's sex drive.

Animals also reveal the truth about sexuality. Female animals lack all supposed human social taboos and never worry about the burden of carrying or raising children or the economic feasibility of being pregnant; every species is truly animalistic. Yet no species ever shows interest in sex unless they are in heat, which purpose is to always produce offspring, never to enjoy sex itself. Male monkeys gather food and offer it to females so they will comply with sex. (No sex equals no food). The difference is that women often present a false picture while animals cannot even present false colors, as they never dye their hair or fur.

Besides, if women had a sex drive, prostitutes would be out of business. This mythical female sex drive causes men to entrust women with decisions regarding sex; consequently some women have disavowed themselves of sex altogether while many women use it as a commodity. Ann Lee (1736-84) claimed to have miraculous revelations from God, telling her to establish a cult. After people accepted this domineering woman's leadership, she established the Shakers, for whom sex was considered evil; she demanded lifetime celibacy from everyone.(52) The CoAlpha Forum explains why feminism causes involuntary celibacy.53

6) You Cannot Make Exceptions: Some especially talented women can ace men's jobs. But if you make exceptions, other women will cry for those privileges. Likewise a man may make a better wife than most women, but his marrying another man disposes of Christianity. Therefore you cannot make exceptions, as a crack in a glass defeats the purpose of the glass. Men and women either have God-given gender roles, men being an authority over women as Christianity taught, or they don't.

7) Neutrality Doesn't Work: A company CEO is either a man or a woman; companies don't have one male and one female as co-owners. Also, women spend far more than men on average. (54-56) Therefore, husbands should control the family finances instead of having joint bank accounts. And if the husband's biology urges sex five times a week, yet the wife wants it only twice a month, joint agreement reduces sex to twice a month. And equal authority breeds battles for supremacy. After a divorce, joint property ownership causes years-long property disputes to drag through the courts. With these major differences, "gender neutrality" causes gross inequality. Paradoxically, equal rights destroys the possibility of fairness and equality.

Supply and Demand

In every situation with two competing groups, if group A controls the supply to group B's demand and group A is demand-free, group B is in big trouble. Imagine two armies on a battlefield. One has regular soldiers; the other is genetically altered; they don't need food or drink to sustain themselves. On rare occasion when hunger or thirst overwhelms them, they play a miniature video game and their desires are satisfied. The regular army is clearly in jeopardy. Imagine a castle under siege. The invaders cannot penetrate the fortress, so they wait until the occupant's food and water run out, while the invaders are supplied with food and water from the outside. Obviously the B groups would be wiped out if they cannot level the playing field of supply and demand by any means necessary. Yet today one group now entrusts another group with dominating multiple categories of supply and demand! If one group told another group to trust them while using sweet words that oozed honey while batting long eyelashes, would that make any difference? Worse yet, men are tricked into thinking that women are much nicer, smarter, more spiritual, and far more deserving. Men participate in their own demise.

Recapitulation

Some things considered axiomatic are dead wrong. Though heavier objects supposedly fall faster than lighter ones; objects fall at the same speed. Other things seem obviously wrong, but are right; all the world's gold could fit into a 22 meter cube and all platinum into a 6 meter cube. Erroneously proposing women's equal rights, though seemingly right, is also dead wrong. Either that or every significant culture throughout recorded history and religious leaders including Jesus Christ were wrong for being patriarchal. (Feminist societies like the Amazons were mythological, with no matriarchal societies according to modern definition.) Society is better off than in ancient times, but that's due to advanced medical knowledge, labor saving devices freeing people from drudgery, and Christianity's influence which counteracts barbarity, not feminism.

Shame on men who complain about: "Dangerous theistic beliefs of oppressing women." Since the feminist explosion, women have been disavowing themselves of men. If you are male and this hasn't already harmed you, it will impact your male children, grandchildren, or subsequent generations. They may be subjugated to women, and if they feel the need for sex or a romantic partner, they might have to be gay. And don't pretend that fathers want their sons to be gay.

Women lacking male privileges for thousands or perhaps millions of years didn't even begin to make them extinct. If women were so oppressed, how did they quickly galvanize themselves into a sudden explosion of feminism without retaliation? Rather, women were prevented from essentially becoming "men" who have no use for real men.

Again, most men's innate biology prevents them from repudiating women, and most mother's innate biology prevents them from repudiating their children. However, children don't have an innate biology to prevent them from repudiating their parents. That's why we have strict laws such as those to prevent children from running away. Likewise, women aren't naturally connected to men. Therefore, if men don't reassert themselves quickly, they will be completely abandoned. Besides, males who lack a woman's influence disproportionately wage war on each other, commit suicide, and drink themselves to death.

Evil is unmitigated self-interest, as is feminism. Women acquiring traditional male privileges violates thousands, perhaps many millions of years of consistent world history, violates the original Christianity as given by Christ, is destroying the family, the root of society, and is destroying male/ female relations completely. Feminism is poison.⁵⁷

Conclusion

Though transsexuality is unnatural, trans-persons attempt to have their bodies accurately reflect their already existing role reversal; at least they're consistent. Those engaging in role reversal who don't alter their bodies, namely feminists and their supporters, are not only unnatural, they are inconsistent.

We've been talking politely about this issue for the last fifty years, which has had no effect on angry, stubborn, and adamant feminists. Since feminism harbors the ability to completely destroy the traditional family, man/woman relations, and society itself, radical action is necessary. Since around 1970, the period when feminism gained dominance, men have killed themselves 200% more frequently!⁵⁸

Men are like lambs under the delusion that lions are vegetarians. They must REPENT by repudiating women's equal rights, putting themselves back in charge where they belong, and reembracing our Creator's plan. This plan was understood as men having as much authority over women as women had over children. That's no insult. Children are blessed, and children are often happier than adults. We must also put men in subjection to a community of elders, to squash abuse and neglect.

Notably, arguing with women is usually a waste of time. Most women, like most men, are basically selfish; they will do what they think is best for themselves regardless. Yet in this case, feminism caused women to go to work when they were previously free from this burden. There's also much evidence that women are now less happy.^{59,60}

Restoring the patriarchy would require radical changes in the laws, likely large scale police and military action, and individual and group revolution. Men say no, but when liberals have a cause, they demand action as when soldiers were called to enforce integration of the schools in 1957. And although people are told repeatedly by the leftist media that they are alone and backwards in their opposition to feminism, that is FALSE. If every traditionalist spoke up and combated feminism together, it would be overturned.

Don't feel sorry for women who trespass onto historical male privileges, especially since many reject the responsibility that goes with it. "Feminism" is choosing to be wormwood, not sugar and spice. "Women's equal rights" turns women into wicked witches, not princesses. This radical movement must be destroyed. So those of you who desire traditional man/woman adult relationships for your children and grandchildren: wake up. Furthermore, I believe there will be a literal Armageddon, an ultimate battle between the evil and righteous on Earth before Judgment Day. The evil forces might be comprised of women and some perverted men, who will attempt to destroy the last remaining real men. The righteous men will have to annihilate them to win the battle. So BE PREPARED!



