



31.

Women's Rights



Although I'm a man, I thought so highly of females, I would have loved to be a girl. In my formative years, I took the rhyme "Girls are made of sugar, spice, and everything nice," and boys from undesirable elements, to heart.(1) Childbearing would be fantastic too, sharing in God's creative power by producing life. Remember this personal and forthright reveal while reading my seemingly paradoxical discourse.

Are the Sexes Unequivocally Distinct?

People cannot physically be both female and male, or half male, half female, including those with oblique chromosomes or body configurations. Unusual cases of pseudo-hermaphrodites, though hard to categorize, are only one of three: males, females, or neuter, a biological fact. Stating "Everyone has both male and female hormones" (more accurately estrogens and androgens) is deceptive. Women produce ten times the estrogen as androgen, and men's estrogen/androgen ratios are women's reverse; people do not produce fifty-fifty ratios. Chemical ratios are paramount; a gallon of red paint into ten gallons of blue is still blue, a gallon of blue into ten gallons of red is still red; nitrogen is required to live, but too much nitrogen causes death.

True hermaphrodites who could both sire a child and become pregnant are nonexistent in humans. Pseudo-hermaphrodites never produce large amounts of estrogen and androgen, only large amounts of one or low amounts of both.(2) Some people take androgens or estrogens for medicinal purposes but their body won't maintain the resulting hormone ratio if they stop treatment.

Although some people allegedly were the opposite sex in a past life, reincarnation is unsubstantiated. Supposedly having been a member of the opposite sex may be a nuanced expression of transgender ideation. Rather, gender determinants are unambiguous and fixed throughout every person's physical existence. Environmental conditioning only plays a small part; the differences are primarily biological. Books *The Male Brain* and *The Female Brain* clarify these differences.(3,4) In fact, scientists discovered over six thousand-five hundred (6,500) genetic differences between men and women.

5LINK ▶ www.zmescience.com/science/news-science/men-women-genes-05042017 **◀LINK**

Feminine, by definition, is passive, nurturing, and pliant; masculine is assertive, focused, and unyielding. Natural masculinity and femininity are necessarily interactive, inseparable and inalterable. These blatant and universal binary principles formed the cornerstone of Asian philosophy from antiquity. This Yin Yang principle, represented by the Taijitu, appears on South Korean flags.(6) Femaleness can also be defined as receptivity, and maleness as externality; moving out to effect the environment. Women can be likened to beautiful flowers, and men to gardeners. Neither are like Pat from the Saturday Night Live skits.(7) Specialist Dr. Soh debunks the non-binary movement.

8LINK ▶ www.amazon.com/Ed-Gender-Debunking-Identity-Society/dp/1982132515/ref=sr_1_2 **◀LINK**

Besides the male and female binary, Earth itself is a binary: animals and plants, continents and oceans, not slow gradations between them. Day and night are binaries except for brief moments of twilight and dawn. We have the binaries alive/dead, backward/forward, fire/ice/, left/right, magnetic poles north/south, and with-in/without. Even the color spectrum has the binaries infrared and ultraviolet. We are constantly surrounded by binaries which are indispensable elements of life.

Clarifying Myself

Feminism does not mean being feminine, as the word hell is unrelated to hell. Feminism is supporting women's equal rights. Sometimes feminists assert that women are superior to men in certain respects, and therefore deserve every male privilege without men's responsibilities. Besides, many men are disenfranchised. They lack basic relationships and privileges, and are simply struggling to preserve their own dignity in a harsh world. Those with social dysfunction cannot afford to be sniped at by contrary agendas. So for those who have a disconnect here, step into another world. I shall explain this subject as thoroughly as possible in my allotted space, while being completely honest. This consists of doing my best to determine the truth concerning the proper relationship of women to other people, from what has been revealed by science, biology, behavioral studies, history, logic, and theology.

Obvious Hatred and Rebellion

Despite men's authority over women throughout history, regardless of culture, women today are outraged if that idea is even suggested. Instead of politely addressing perceived unfairness, hostility often dominates. In movies, female main characters triumph over male enemies, women are smart and men are stupid, and women beat up men in fights. A famous cult movie shows viewers a distorted alternate universe, where the entire male world conspires against two female protagonists, who drive off a cliff into oblivion to escape it.⁹

Hateful paraphernalia exists, such as tee-shirts declaring "The fastest way to men's hearts is through their chest", with a heart and bloody dagger.⁽¹⁰⁾ Authoress and journalist Natalie Angier's New York Times article begins: "The most persistent and frustrating problem in evolutionary biology is the male. Specifically, why doesn't he just go away?"⁽¹¹⁾ Imagine men saying these things about women. Also: "I want to see a man beaten to a bloody pulp with a high-heel shoved in his mouth, like an apple in the mouth of a pig"-----Andrea Dworkin. "Man-hating is an honorable and viable political act, that the oppressed have a right to class-hatred against the class that oppresses them"-----Robin Morgan.¹²⁻¹³

The subject of gender arose in grade school. I simply reiterated the class dictionary definition of women: the weaker sex. The teacher loudly and abruptly reprimanded me before all, so I shut up. I suppose that teacher knew better than the apostle Peter, who referred to women as the weaker vessel (Peter 3:7). Since Jesus Christ appointed Peter as chief apostle, should Jesus have shut up to not impede that teacher's belligerence?

In the book *Undateable* "Men do 311 things that guarantee they won't be dating," including not paying the entire restaurant tab.⁽¹⁴⁾ So feminism says that women should dictate what men should or should not do, while men have no input on decisions women make about themselves. Women's demands might forever increase while their concessions forever decrease.

Even devoutly religious women have exuded contemptuous ferocity. The largest nun's organization in America badmouthed their bishops and defied Church teaching. Quoting one nun, who after being asked why the Pope didn't allow women's ordination, responded: "Because he's a chauvinist, sexist slob." Actually, Satanism is about interchangeable gender roles and sometimes female dominance.¹⁵

In America, disparaging images of men, usually white, are constantly used for entertainment. Men are variously depicted as cowardly, stupid, physically inept, foolish, immoral, hypocritical, narrow-minded, abusive, mentally unbalanced, evil, and stubborn. Surprisingly, men don't take it personally. Instead, they see men whom they dislike as having those bad characteristics. Supposedly, only those men are being depict-

ed, not men like themselves, so these ugly depictions are trivialized. Rather, if you are a man, especially a white man, YOU are being depicted. The purpose is to cause you to be treated as an inferior being.

The phenomenon of men accepting these stereotypes doesn't make them true. Michael Moore's book *Stupid White Men* does prove that one white man is stupid; he should have pointed to himself or looked in a mirror while being photographed for the cover. Some men perpetuate this stereotype because they are temporarily treated as heroes and praised highly for it. Some make a fortune from self-effacement. When their role of useful idiot is no longer needed, and men are hopelessly degraded, they themselves will be disposed of. Many feminists claim to want equality, but actually want domination. The book *Why Women Should rule the World* makes this clear. Other feminists want to overturn biology and traditional values.

Quoting authoress Susan Brownmiller: "Femininity, in essence, is a romantic sentiment, a nostalgic tradition of imposed limitations." (16) Inverting this statement exposes its extremism: Masculinity, in essence, is a romantic sentiment, a nostalgic tradition of imposed limitations. Gender dysphoric blogger "Tarnished Sophia" notes that when women gather, they consistently disparage men, but men don't disparage women. She asks her readers to find counterexamples.¹⁷

Posters and shirts showcase "The Evolution of Authority," depicting footprints of pseudoscientific transitional forms, a man's shoeprint a step ahead, and a woman's high heel print as the highest step. (18) In fact, mistreatment of males starts in school when they are young boys, which prevents their success. (19) So don't be fooled; feminism's ultimate end is domination and emasculation, not equality.

Men's Envidable Position?

Only dominant men ever ruled the world. Conquered men became third class citizens; homeless crippled men without rights, or non-citizens, just piles of bones covered with dirt. Would you like trading places? Although "historical" movies depict men as kings and conquistadors, every "alpha male" subjugated and completely degraded thousands of "omega males" while chivalrous persons generally never intervened. While Mademoiselle Fontaine awaited her knight in shining armor, five other benighted suitors fell off their horses into manure. The armor's preponderance preventing their arising, their enemies lit fires underneath, roasting them like baked potatoes. Besides, most men were pawns, not knights, let alone kings.

Though abusing women was overlooked, men were abused by their employers with whips and clubs till the nineteenth century. In free countries, women have MORE rights than men in dictatorial countries. Modern conveniences such as washing machines, microwaves, flush toilets which replaced chamber pots, cell phones, vacuum cleaners, dryers, sewing machines, store-bought butter and ice-cream replacing hand-churned, and running water instead of bucketing or pumping from wells make modern women's lives easier than those of historical kings.

Farmer and fisherman were slaves in the agricultural and horticultural society predating modern equipment. Besides, I wouldn't dare board an antiquated ship and risk constant nausea. No matter how hard women worked, men always worked twice as hard. Besides, men faced violence and died earlier. In my lifetime, beating employees was illegal, but I was beaten by a punch press which smashed my fingers to a bloody pulp. Another man suffered congestive heart failure at work. Since management didn't want downtime they wouldn't let him go home. Being a man isn't glamorous. Conclusively, feminist's ancestors would have considered them spoiled brats.

People usually ask men upon meeting them "What do you do? [job-wise]." If their job status is low, they're considered a boy, not a man. Men without a job are often treated as not living upon earth (the lowest honor). Yet ironically, the highest honor men can allegedly achieve is "dying for their country" (to

cease living upon earth). Regardless, men are expected to get off the earth unless they're a useful tool in society's hands, while women are often honored just for being women. Besides, men's burdens are eased via advanced technology, not feminism.

True Unfairness

Men's "great advantage over women" is nonsense. Note *The Myth of Male Power*.⁽²⁰⁾ Various men and women could have *equal* fulfillment, but the phenomenon of being lifetime social outcasts is almost unique to men. Men's suicide rates quadruple women's, men regularly die prematurely, male homelessness and drug addiction is much greater, their incarceration rate is ten times higher, and movies regularly depict women as wise, while men are depicted as criminals, misanthropes, or stooges. There are only two biologically meaningful measures of success, the aforementioned longevity, and reproductive success. The amount of men who are "total reproductive losers" is legion.

At a High School reunion, I inventoried who was doing well, average, poorly, or whose lives were ruined. Everyone who did poorly or died prematurely was male. Women were wonderfully free from having to go to work. Yet feminism caused women to toil outside the home, besides their usual responsibilities. Feminists are even pushing for women to fight in the army so they can be shot, tortured as prisoners of war, and be destroyed by bombs.

Financial Concerns

Though men generally make more money, women get pregnancy leave, cannot be drafted, and men usually support them financially. When marriages terminate, women usually gain child support and alimony. Besides, women's making less money is often due to career choices that pay less, instead of "glass ceilings." An example is predominantly male-oriented construction jobs on which men hazard their lives, while women often have innocuous jobs with air conditioning. Yet a third less pay is supposedly unfair. When women lacked rights, men's jobs were even more dangerous. My grandfather worked with dynamite, became horribly burned in a factory explosion, lost a finger to a fan without a blade guard, and almost fell into a cauldron of molten metal when the gangplank boards overhead collapsed.

Besides, if women had equal net worth, divorce rates might increase. Women initiate two-thirds of divorces; the divorce rate was lower when women made less. Throughout history, women's beauty and coquetry garnered resplendent wealth and social standing from receptive men. This huge advantage, coupled with commensurate pay wouldn't create equality. Women would dominate or men could ignore women, suffering frustration, while women remain complacent. Men are slaves to their biological imperatives; women always controlled them in that regard.

Even elderly women who have lost their pulchritudinous power are advantaged, since they are often cherished as grandmotherly types, while elderly men are often viewed as "dour old coots." Also observe the ratio of men to women living on the street. Per jungle tribes, young men hunt and young women cook. There's always enough game for some able-bodied men to successfully hunt. However, if game is scarce, some men cannot successfully hunt, while all able-bodied women can always cook. If their man fails to provide, they simply find another mate and cook for him, while their former mate starves.

If both parents work, someone else must supervise the children. Since daycare costs sometimes exceed one's income, and women often don't want to give up their careers, the man may stay home with the children. Yet the closest natural bond is between mother and child, not father and child. If anything is finan-

cially unfair, it's women working as exotic dancers and strippers. These women who sell their dignity make ten times as much money as average men who acquire advanced education, or who toil as laborers or tradesmen. The men are allowed little or no touching of the women besides, which is extremely degrading, as if the men are lepers or the women are goddesses. And exotic dancing or adult entertainment requires little skill, unlike competitive dancing done by the Rockettes. Yet disordered society legalized and supported this insanity.

Instead, men need financial advantages over women, since men are often valued only if they generate income, and severely handicapped males, who are much more disadvantaged, need gigantic financial and legal advantages to create equality.

In *Shakespeare's Romeo and Juliet Act 2 Scene 2* Juliet declares "If thy love be honorable, Thy purpose marriage, send me word tomorrow, Where and when thou wilt perform the rite, And all my fortunes at thy foot I'll lay, And follow thee my lord throughout the world."²¹

Violence

If women ruled the world, wars wouldn't occur? Nonsense. Margaret Thatcher, first female British Prime Minister, lead thousands of young men to their death in the nonsensical Falklands war, a diversion tactic to take the public's mind off her failed administration.^(22,23) Wars are initiated by anyone in power. Besides examples of women gaining political power and then declaring war, such as Queen "Bloody" Mary I (1516-1558)⁽²⁴⁾, men's happenstance of governmental power explains why war is male-centric. Although destructive war is predominantly male-initiated, women working in munitions plants, assembling bombs or making the aforementioned war declarations are equally participatory. Anytime a woman abuses, neglects, or aborts a baby also attacks humanity. See XXVI.Social Lunacy under The Youngest.

Outside of dictatorships, women abstrusely rule. Women choose their relationships. If their men misbehave, women can terminate those relationships and initiate relationships with men they approve of. One wonders how many men are figureheads operated by female puppeteers. Women who favor roguish and warlike men are equally responsible. A major gender difference is that men often embrace stupidity, putting themselves at risk, where women are wiser. Women aren't morally superior, they are just prudent. A large factor in warfare is marital status; soldiers are far more likely to be single than married. Because of feminism, men's marital status is ultimately decided by women; warfare therefore is equally women's responsibility. Legions of women would rather give themselves liberty while others get death.

Men's greater physicality is why men physically abuse women more than women abuse men. If women were more physically powerful, they would be the abusers. Know that numerous women throughout the world starred in films of themselves torturing and crushing animals to death for pleasure, totaling thousands of videos.^{25,26}

Canadian radio host Stefan Molyneux blamed mothers for men's violent behavior. Molyneux said "Because 90% of a child's brain is formed by the experience it has before age five, and women have an almost universal control over childhood, violence exists in the world because of the way women treat children. If we could just get people to be nice to their babies for five years straight, that would end war, drug abuse, addiction, promiscuity, sexually transmitted diseases. Almost all would be eliminated, because they all arise from dysfunctional early childhood experiences, which are all run by women." He later said "Women who choose a-holes guarantee child abuse. All the cold-hearted jerks who run the world came out of the vaginas of women who married a-holes. I don't know how to make the world a better place without holding women accountable for choosing a-holes. Women worship at the feet of the Devil and wonder why the world is evil. Then know what they say? "We're victims!"²⁷

In free countries, abusing women is now illegal, and women can remove themselves from these situations. Humbling oneself to ask for family assistance, having a religious affiliation, and accepting lower living standards would collectively eliminate most threats of homelessness and starvation. Spirituality and luxury are often in opposition. And women raping men is just impossible. Besides, men raping men in prison is common, yet little is done about it.

Feminists claim that since females are abused, women should be in charge instead. Yet ignoring the fact that males are abused by both men and women all over the world is playing a shell game. Men are the designated breadwinners. Failing that objective, there's temptation to obtain money through illegal means. Men's disproportionate crime rates are circumstantial, instead of women having moral superiority. Women provoke men into crime. Girls appear superior, since boys are usually loud and offensive while girls are well-behaved. However, instead of disciplining little boys, mothers often allow them to offend everyone in sight. Then they complain about men's misbehavior.

Modern Distortions

Allegedly, religions created beliefs that women were inferior. It's said that the ancient Greeks viewed Pandora as the source of evil, while ignoring the fact that her box given by a male god was the source. It's said that the Bible's Eve led Adam into sin while ignoring the fact that the serpent, a male character, led Eve into sin. And the saying that in Hinduism the reward of a virtuous woman is rebirth as a man is fictitious. Although virtuous women can be reborn as men in some religions which believe in reincarnation, virtuous men can be reborn as women. The reward is based on higher social status, greater wealth, or better health, not gender. Feminists are dishonest about their desires, complaining that men are loud, obnoxious, emotionally insensitive, and obsessed with sex and sports. However, I've spent a lifetime being quiet, well behaved, avoiding the subject of sex and downplaying its importance, being very emotional, and being uninterested in sports. Yet women dismiss me. Other men with these supposedly undesirable qualities are popular.

Some women complain that men aren't interested in them. Rich men who look like male models and acquiesce to all their desires might not be available. But with computer access and the premium placed on women, almost any woman can find somebody if she acknowledges her limitations. These lies and pretenses fuel radical feminism.

Feminists decry men's inexperience with childbirth while ignoring the joy. Someone said "Imagine squeezing a cantaloupe through your rectum." This compares a difficulty to an impossibility and redefines a vagina as a second rectum. That's like their redefinition of unborn babies as fetuses, terminating developing life as pro-choice, and masculinization as "women's empowerment." Rather, imagine birthing three children, alone, unassisted, without pain medicine, and without complaint like my grandmother did.

Men who wear women's attire are transvestites or drag queens. Yet it's not considered odd for women to have crew cuts, suits and ties, or army boots and camouflage. Such women should be considered transvestites and drag kings. Another term created for women that allegedly lessens or negates responsibility for crimes is post-partum depression. Though some women may have this condition, men's bodies are also cyclic. Having a hormonal attack doesn't excuse men from crimes. Claiming lack of control because of your hormones says that you need fewer rights and more supervision.

Role reversal may be necessary if opposite sex companions are unavailable or if a spouse cannot fulfill their role. But these are unnatural exceptions, as someone might need an artificial leg. Throughout history, people lived with their parents until they married. Never-married people lived with their parents until death, limiting the amount of role reversal.

Complaining that women worry about pregnancy while men don't upholds a modern distortion. Historically, people didn't have sex until marriage. If wives got pregnant, husbands were always legally responsible for supporting those children. Legally enforcing traditional responsibilities of husbands and fathers, saving oneself for marriage, or using natural birth control methods solves this problem. Again, abuse of women supposedly requires women making more personal decisions. For argument's sake, let's use the biggest estimate for male on female abuse, the unsubstantiated one in four women victimized by domestic violence. If women insist that's true, that shows their incompetence in selecting mates. Also, many females admit their attraction to "bad boys." Therefore, women should make less personal decisions instead, such as having their mates selected by their parents, instead of demonizing men, police departments, and our entire legal system.

Ridiculing Femininity

Having always been aware of my feminine side, it's hard for me to understand why males ridicule it, but I'll try. I guess they're envious because females are better off. Since my family was intolerant, I affected an exaggerated masculinity. In an isolated incident I was playing war with the children down the street with toy guns and makeshift forts. One boy grabbed one of his sister's frilly and extravagantly colored doll clothes, threw it atop another fort, and announced "You have a siren on top." We tossed this item back and forth, which represented foolishness and vulnerability.

Males consider machismo as a defense and femininity as lacking defense. Even army tanks, representing safety and power, are literal shells which men hide behind. Men in tanks are paradoxically in more danger than unarmed women walking down Main Street because the tank becomes a target. Those who live by the sword get run through.

Male disparagement of women in advanced countries often consists merely of offensive, yet innocuous comments. Ignore such childish comments, and appreciate your blessings. Besides, active abusers in other countries invariably degrade other men too. Men insult other men by declaring that they have feminine traits. However, the joke is on them. While they babble, women find more satisfaction.

Oppressive Machismo?

Rejecting feminism does not leave us with barbarity. Since the radical changes initiated by Jesus Christ, Prince of Peace, and modern technological advances, modern areas with Christian influence will never degenerate to that point. See XX. Technology under The Godsend of Technology. And as stated earlier, embracing Christianity's original teachings would eliminate abuse of women and other social ills. Besides, our western hemisphere is drowning in feminism. Schools and movies push a feminist agenda; every library has dozens of feminist books, and feminist websites are plentiful. Also, men taking advantage of women prevents men from ever uniting. For example, by exercising the chauvinist practice of polygamy, where men have four wives, only 25% of men could attain this goal, while 75% of men would have nobody. Undo machismo cannibalizes itself by requiring men to combat other men.

Contrarily, feminism is communal as women themselves are. Furthermore, even "macho" men allow women to dictate how they look. Since most women express disapproval of beards, even "manly" men shave, thereby making their appearance more feminine. Although we don't see it that way today, throughout history, men had their God-given beards. Eventually, men might be expected to remove other things too. The crowning factor is the world suicide rate of males quadrupling that of females. Although the female *attempt*

rate quadruples that of males, this is trumped by risky male behavior or quasi-suicide attempts, occurring a hundred times more frequently in males than females.

Though some men claim that men who denounce feminism are afraid of women, it's more sensible that men who speak against feminists have the courage to do so, and men who go along are afraid to oppose them. There's actually a term for men who serve women's interests and oppose men's interests: manginas.(28) Moreover, fear of chauvinism is a sign of impotence. If we lack and consider disagreeable seething male energy, we can never be great. The greatest changes on Earth would be unthinkable without men's dominant driving force.

Inequality

Women usually get emotional support whereas men are considered emotionless. Note that male suicide rates quadruple female rates. Generally, women closely bond, finding companionship from other women; so much so that single straight women combining forces has been colloquially known as a Boston marriage.(29) Contrarily, males are conditioned to the point that two grown men often cannot tolerate living under the same roof. Men's right to have families is also threatened. One sperm donor can inseminate thousands of women, relinquishing men's rights in situ. Contrarily, men cannot receive eggs.

Throughout history, men who didn't generate wealth or weren't good warriors were treated like garbage. Even successful men are virtually tools, while women are considered fully human. Besides, men created the very technology which reverses roles. Robotics will nullify men's strength advantage while women type faster on computers, perhaps from having svelte fingers, while men's fingers are relatively cumbersome. Women had no rights before 1920? NO, women had rights but lacked male privileges. I shall explain this difference shortly. Besides, with rights come responsibilities, often the responsibility of making mistakes with terrible consequences.

If a magical pixie allowed me to either relive my present life over, or wave her magic wand and I'd become a typical girl in 1910, I'd choose being the girl. Since magical pixies don't exist, alleviating these inequalities by granting men special privileges is paramount. Most women already have privileges over men, that of mentoring children, forming the minds and hearts of our world, and of being loved and cherished, far outweighing any male advantages. Even men of the highest echelon who have acquired said privileges often need to exhaust themselves for their privileges, guilty of being Neanderthalian until proven innocent.

Most women are unconditionally admired for what they are: de facto women, as roses, chrysanthemums, and tiger lilies are admired de facto. Although women never had it easy, their much greater acceptance has always lightened life's burdens. Some activities that were off-limits to females benefited them ex post facto. Examples are stressful jobs, smoking, football (former players have permanent injuries), unprotected premarital sex, motorcycling (chief source of organ donations), the prohibition of renting rooms to single women (which helps prevent them from being victimized), and mortal combat. Yet women resent being denied such glamour. Although spending much of my atypical and idiosyncratic life resenting my controlling parents, some male peers died prematurely, toil at rotten jobs, or have nothing, while I'm on Easy Street.

Who Actually Supported Women's Rights?

Baby girls in third world countries being killed is due to economics, not prejudice against females, who cannot assist with manual labor as well as males. Besides, **MOTHERS SUPPORT THE DECISION TO KILL THEIR**

DAUGHTERS. Boys aren't spared out of love; they are abused as laboring animals, so males lose too. I would rather die quickly than be worked to death.

Again, it was men who created the labor-saving devices which rescued some girls from being killed, allowing women to compete with men as workers. Although women have invented things, the full documentation of men's inventions could span half a library. Saskatchewan blog owner Kate McMillan joked "If women ran the world, people would still be living in caves, albeit with really, really fancy curtains." (30) The greatest decree for and subsequent liberation of American women was the Emancipation Proclamation since half the liberated slaves were women. Therefore it was women's liberation. Some men fought and died for black women's rights, while others suffered being shot in their limbs, which were amputated without anesthesia (neither advanced medical procedures that prevented deadly gangrene infection nor anesthetics were available). Union soldiers died for these women by battling the pro-slavery Confederacy; suffragettes did not.

Our thirteenth Amendment's condemnation of slavery and involuntary servitude chiefly liberated women, not our nineteenth Amendment, allowing women to vote. Besides the nineteenth Amendment being enforced by men, there's no improvement in politics. Politics is now predominantly known for corruption, albeit by suave and handsome candidates. I'm well aware of past domestic violence against women, when police were reluctant to intervene. But before police intervention was common, clans of male vigilantes often intervened on women's behalf, where other women ignored their "sisters."

Theology

Wiccans claim to believe in both a Goddess and God who are equally powerful, so they claim equal authority for women. But if the Goddess and God created people, why did they make men a hundred percent more physically powerful than women, which made men dominant throughout human history? But if these deities simply created raw materials and allowed everything to evolve from there, they had a billion years in which to steer Evolution so that men and women had equal physical power.

Christian women were clearly subjugated to their husbands, as Sarah obeyed Abraham, calling him lord ([Peter 3:1, 5-6](#)). Jesus' social reform included speaking to women when other men would not, not by creating feminism. He appointed twelve male apostles whom He instructed to appoint seventy male successors. (Also note [Corinthians 14:34-35](#)). In a humorous cartoon, Jesus is being conked in the head by Mary, who says "You knucklehead, appoint some women and avoid chauvinism;" Jesus sheepishly complies. And to be logical, all forms of theology must be patriarchal.

Historical Women's Rights and Male Privileges

HISTORICAL WOMEN'S RIGHTS:

- #Not being victimized by crime and having grievances addressed by the civil authorities (My point is, crime against women was always illegal, not that it didn't happen. Crime against men always occurred too, but chivalrous persons sometimes intervened for women not men).
- #Right to live in nice homes with adequate food, drink, and clothing (Any woman who was undesirable lived with her parents and had the same amenities)
- #Exemption from manly physical labor and warfare
- #Having leisure time and a social life
- #Exemption from paying bills
- #Being fully supported by a husband, parents, or the Church

HISTORICAL MALE PRIVILEGES (off-limits to women):

- #Holding office, running businesses, being judges, policemen, religious leaders, having teaching positions over men, and any other male role
- #Competitive and living wages
- #Owning property (in most circumstances)
- #Voting, speaking in public assemblies, and making any civic decisions
- #Choosing a mate or being the authority over the subsequent nuclear family
- #Authority over sexual and reproductive rights

Origin of Modern Feminism

Early catalysts for feminism Elizabeth Cady Stanton (1815-1902) completely rejected Christianity and Susan B. Anthony (1820-1906) became agnostic. Later catalyst for feminism Betty Friedan (1921-2006) rejected religion almost entirely, and Gloria Steinem (born 1934) lost what little interest she had in religion after embracing feminism. These women, who aggressively advocated abortion, stated in various terms that men are worthless to women. Bella Abzug (1920-1998) was another prominent feminist. All five women were of Jewish heritage while all five rebelled against Judaism's religious aspects, supposedly precipitated upon its denigration of women. For example the Talmudic prayer of Judah Ben Ilai "Blessed be thou....who has not made me a goy [Gentile].....who has not made me a woman, and who made me an Israelite.....(31). This certainly does not represent all men and their beliefs.

Some leading feminists have denounced marriage but subsequently married. That supposedly harmed their credibility, indicating that feminism is an innocuous social experiment that women will awaken from. Rather, the strategy of denouncing a sacred institution wasn't working and made feminists appear naïve and silly to speak against something they never experienced. Having been married, they could now claim that marriage is bad and sound authoritative. Again, wars were also catalysts for feminism because men's absence while off to war turned society upside down. Before the major wars, feminism was rarely considered.

The American Atheists were founded; prayer was banned in public schools, the result of Madelyn Murray O'Hare's campaign, Betty Friedan's book *The Feminine Mystique* was published, and feminists marched and protested as a branch of the Berkley Riots, all in the United States and in the year 1963. The Watt's Riots, consisting of black people violently protesting, occurred in Los Angeles from August 11th through the 17th, 1965. In May, 1966 the National Organization of Women was founded. The Stonewall Riots, commonly considered the chief turning point for gay rights, occurred in New York City from June 28-29, 1969. Finally, the "Reason Rallies," marches by atheists, originated in the early twenty-first century. Far from being innocuous, feminism is a developing wind that is becoming a whirlwind.

Short Summary

Although women supposedly represented love, women are becoming known for hate. Most feminists garnered liberation from normalcy, not oppression. Perhaps from the fact that everyone is born from women, women were always cherished. However, men were never cherished; they were always mere tools. So if men cannot have an advantage somehow, they will be oppressed. So before men become obsolete (consider the book *Are Men Necessary?*), or get to the breaking point of widespread retaliation, men must assert themselves. Being cherished instead of being in authority, with its worrisome responsibilities, can be much

more satisfying. So women should repudiate feminism too, since before feminism, women didn't go to work. I was fortunate enough to stop working at forty; now I'm much more fulfilled.

In a perennial comic strip scenario, Lucy (personifying feminism) tells Charlie Brown that she will hold a football while he placekicks it. Charlie initially doesn't trust Lucy, so she says something to engender his trust. So Charlie runs up to kick the ball, but at the last second, Lucy removes the football, Charlie kicks into the air and flips over, falling flat on his back. Though Lucy tells Charlie Brown that he should not have trusted her, he never learns.(32) So learn from broken man/woman relations caused by feminism; don't be a blockhead. Although many hold middle positions, dividing this issue into full traditionalism and feminism avoids confusion and promotes clarity. Part 2 is needed to complete this subject.



