

## 26. Social Lunacy (Social Justice Now!)

Imagine yourself in a nightmare, where everyone hates you, just because you're you! I've experienced a nightmare my entire life. Not blanket hatred, but intense dislike by most people, failure to maintain healthy relationships, and being robbed of many opportunities, for reasons beyond my control, and for being true to myself and truthful with others.

Our treatment of those who are naturally different or through no fault of their own shows what's really in our heart. People's differences are the ultimate test of how we treat others; we fail the test miserably. And don't be mistaken. Understanding social injustice cannot be done from lofty heights. Nobody who was not seized in the jaws of this murderous viper can know its poison fangs. Nothing results from regular people or professionals but superficial chatter and false sentimentality. So my dissertation documents the experiences of those who suffer ongoing unfairness. I shine light into this darkness.

### My Position

I spend little time discussing blatant criminal behavior. We must assume that such offenders know what they're doing, and need policemen to swoop in and wrest them from their hell-bent course. Instead, I admonish you to recognize social injustice and correct it, since it is legal, easy to overlook, and attempts to justify it are legion. Often, people would rather have been murdered than have suffered a lifetime of social injustice.

Some promote the idea that everyone is \*equal\* as a solution to social injustice (cultural Marxism). However, that idea is foreign to all major religious texts. Blanket equality is also unnatural and illogical. Notably, there is a great discrepancy between the dignity of living creatures, such as between flies and eagles, and between weeds and oak trees. Also, some people are apparently born with base qualities while others inherit noble qualities. So to eliminate the social injustice that millions suffer, I propose \*fairness\* instead, and explain how to promote proper treatment.

### Social Justice Explained

Sometimes discrimination is absolutely necessary. For example, sadistic murderers should rightfully be lowest on the social scale. Although some argue that electrocuting them is cruel and unusual punishment, numerous electric linemen are accidentally electrocuted simply for doing their job. Besides, I'm unsympathetic to those who openly court persecution. Others are destitute from being too lazy to work, a giant leap from being poor from unfortunate circumstances. These people also warrant disrespect. Therefore I spend little time discussing such things.

Some people suffer discrimination for something like body piercing, black leather jackets, fur coats, or sandals. Even if it's unfair to discriminate against such people, discrimination is quickly and easily stopped by doing without those things. Therefore I ignore this issue.

Others suffer discrimination and it's their fault, but not entirely. For example, someone may have suffered tragedy or abuse and turned to alcohol or drugs, and is now addicted. So I give issues like these ample consideration.

Yet others are discriminated against and it's not their fault, but discrimination is still warranted. For example, if I had young children, I would never entrust them to a male babysitter under any circumstances. Even if only one man in three thousand would violate a child, as a responsible parent I would want NO chance. Another example is forbidding ten year olds to drive. Although some ten year olds might drive better than some

twenty year olds, it's too difficult to examine every individual case. Therefore the law makes a blanket judgment known as proper risk assessment. I elaborate on such issues later on.

Oftentimes people are socially ostracized and persecuted for no legitimate reason, which is my primary focus. The persecution of Carrie in the *Carrie* movies based on Stephen King's book is an excellent example. The universal persecution of such a social misfit is extremely accurate and happens to many.<sup>1-4</sup> The social scale, like the food chain, is supposedly inbuilt in humankind to strive to be an individual's best, and is what makes progress. But this rarely works outside of differentiating between the obvious, such as between blatant criminals and law abiding citizens, between willing workers and vagabonds, and between those who observe basic social norms and those covered in filth.

Sociopaths (those without conscience) easily evade this social code, by victimizing people in legal or covert ways. They often get away with repeated atrocities by displaying superior charm or getting people to pity them. Although they may hold the position of doctor, parent, religious leader, scientist, spouse, or teacher, they destroy relationships, careers, reputations, financial savings, and human lives. Sociopaths are among the most popular people. So we must never stop *\*all\** social discrimination. That's too dangerous. Rather, we must stop calling evil good, and good evil, which leads to our destruction. To know the difference between light and darkness requires righteous discrimination.

Harmful discrimination is exposed by the phenomena of there being Alpha males (those who receive special treatment, often undeserved), Betas (most males), and Omegas (social outcasts). However, women don't fit into neat categories; women are basically communal and social not hierarchical. Consequently, almost everyone in the Western hemisphere who has been a lifetime social outcast has been male.

This brings me to my next point: most social outcasts have mental handicaps. This disparaging difference between evaluating men and women and between evaluating those with mental handicaps and those without proves that most social scales are invalid. Therefore, don't be fooled by any vain bourgeois attempts at justification.

You only learn society's true colors when you fall into the crack, like a coin lost in a couch crack. That's where phony politeness and façades break down, and true character is exposed. You yourself can fall into the social toilet, just by falling off a roof, which cripples your legs so you walk differently, acquiring brain damage from a car accident which affects your behavior, or becoming homeless from unfortunate circumstances. In civilized society, most people respect the majority because they realize if they don't, we will have complete anarchy. Then everyone's a target, including themselves, instead of only one or two people. Contrariwise, it's easy to mistreat one or two, and there must be differentiating factors to determine who those people are. People are also self-centered, seeing themselves in others like themselves. Also, the mis-treated lack power and authority to counteract it.

Artificial social scales exist because of selfishness and lack of love. Many are highest on the social scale from telling people what they want to hear; some make a clandestine science of it. Often, your social status depends on how much others profit financially from you. Sometimes people create scapegoats to feel better about themselves. Some social misfits persecute other outcasts to redirect focus off themselves instead of developing solidarity.

Most people exhibit unrighteous social discrimination. Those who sympathize, care about, and advocate for people who are different are typically that way themselves or close to someone who is. So we often need a rude awakening to stimulate within ourselves an adequate caring level. Myopic tendencies are innate to the human condition.

These judgments and values come from our parents, schools, and immediate peer group. Other external judgments invade our society from television, movies, radio, newspapers, magazines, and some books. Particular groups control these mediums with their biased viewpoints.

## Forms of Persecution

Besides physical battery or intimidation, there are invisible people, who, though needing help, are hospitalized under horrible conditions, abused in nursing homes, or chained or locked up at home. There's social shunning, which makes people unable to find mates, have friends, find good jobs or housing, and forces them to live alone, while nobody calls, writes, or visits. There is condescending behavior such as being constantly scolded, told what to do, people interrupting you while you're talking or not respecting what you say, being second-guessed, "humored", treated like a five year old, and being shushed. And there's denigrating treatment such as thinking the worst about someone, overlooking their good points, violating their rights, and magnifying their faults and rules violations, while overlooking those of others (scapegoating).

### Physically Incapacitated

Even today, children mock other children who are crippled in their legs and feet. Others downplay problems of physically handicapped people and magnify their own, unaware of what people with severe physical handicaps must endure. Let's do our best to empathize or at least sympathize with others.

An incredibly positive man, Nick Vujicic, born without arms or legs, initially wallowed in misery. However, he lifted himself up, becoming an extremely positive motivational speaker. See his DVD titled *Life's Greatest Purpose* and book *Life Without Limits*.<sup>(5,6)</sup> However, others with handicaps without a supportive family like Nick's, or whose emotional instability interferes with their thinking, may be unable to deal with life's difficulties.

There are extreme situations such as Dan Crews from Illinois; a quadriplegic who relied on a mechanical respirator and his mother's constant care. Unlike Nick, who could do various things by moving his torso, Dan was completely immobile. Dan began to outlive his personal injury settlement, his house went into foreclosure, and a nursing agency threatened to sue. He faced the prospect of losing his privacy and close proximity to his family by having to move into a nursing home. Dan steadfastly maintained that he preferred death over that situation and fought with officials to end life support. Besides nobody helping him, his mother installed baby monitors in every room to make sure she heard her son's ventilator. Some people may enjoy having others be helpless and in taking away their dignity. A Living Will can help prevent such situations.

### The Poor

Poor people have historically been marginalized. Even Christians have negated them by perverting Christ's message into a health and wealth gospel. Rather, His message was that the poor were especially blessed; how we treat them impacts our eternal welfare. A prime example is Luke chapter 16's parable of the rich man and Lazarus. The rich man is damned for neglecting Lazarus, who, although dying in poverty, achieves Heaven. One of my favorite stories, a lovely account with a fairytale ending to a poor person's plight is *The Little Match Girl*.<sup>7</sup>

Scripture has numerous references to poor people but no references to mentally handicapped people. Perhaps that's because they were the same people; mental handicaps caused poverty. In a climate where poor people starved, a mentally handicapped person's chief problem was poverty, not the handicap itself. Certainly many eschew working and leech off others. However, that doesn't excuse not caring for people. Some volunteer programs, such as those at homeless shelters, help determine who's actually working towards self-improvement. Ultimately, we shouldn't panic over inadvertently helping the undeserving. Rather, Christians focus on bearing one another's burdens, fulfilling Christ's law (Galatians 6:2). For ideas on who we should or should not help financially, see my articles in XXI. Money under Options for Charity.

For a more modern view, there's Upton Sinclair's *The Jungle* (1906)(8). Upton's objective was exposing the worker's plight to revolutionize their conditions. Instead, readers reacted to the filthy meat processing conditions, spawning the Food and Drug Administration, an equally worthy result. Unions, which gave workers eight hour workdays, minimum wages, health insurance, and safety regulations went down from 35% to 7% in the early twenty-first century. Now the top five hundred richest people in America make more than the bottom fifty million.

Instead of all the rich deserving their wealth solely because they earned it, the highest echelon created a system designed to benefit them and which hinders other people's success. Using slippery ingenuity to become dominant is intellectual barbarism. Lottery winners certainly did not earn it, and drama queens become increasingly rich simply for acting boisterous on TV. The rich believing in their superiority over the poor and oppressing them is so distinct that it's institutionalized and governmentally sanctioned. My parents blatantly, by words and actions, stated their superiority over others of "lower class," such as blue-collar people who made less money, "uneducated" bus drivers, and others.

In grade school, after having braces, my retainer held my teeth in formation. One lunch period, the retainer was accidentally thrown away. My mother, irate, drove me back to dig through the garbage. Since it wasn't in the regular garbage cans, I was coerced to dig through the entire garbage dumpster outside. I walked inside the dumpster picking through garbage, while my grandparents dug in with snow shovels. People walking by were shocked and appalled, their eyes popping out of their head. I never found it.

And when our house was for sale, my father removed my furniture and décor, replacing them with "appropriate" décor to avoid "low class-ism." He also wouldn't allow my bicycle to be chained in front of the house, to avoid a "low-class impression." Since Jesus said "It's easier for a camel to go through a needle's eye than for a rich man to enter Heaven," I trust Him to eventually punish arrogant rich people. Suggested reading: *How the Other Half Lives*.(9) For the most wide-scale discrimination, see Institutionalized Cruelty in XI.Religion Fails. Yet retaliating against rich people doesn't change their bad attitude; it reinforces their mindset that poorer people are inferior. Although most rich people have bad attitudes, not all do; we mustn't judge someone by their wealth.

### Physical Appearance

Women unfairly judge men on their looks as men judge women. Men are evaluated by height and eye color as women are judged by breast size or hair color. Women often consider dark brown eyes masculine and attractive, while blue or green eyes are considered feminine. Movies and television have promoted this ongoing bias for decades. Caucasian men with black hair, brown eyes, and olive or medium skin are depicted as virile, warm, enlightened, and competent. Those with lighter hair, blue eyes, and lighter white skin (Nordic and Scandinavian sub-races) are depicted as sickly, cold, backwards, and inept.

This is because movie and television producers are predominantly of the Mediterranean and Jewish sub-races (those with the darker features). Jews still hold a grudge against Germans, who are Nordic. Actually, there was an international attempt to entirely destroy German citizens. See the video *Hellstorm*.(10) Women have digested such bigotry since childhood; now Nordic men suffer rejection over something with no basis in reality. Besides, blue eyes are much better at absorbing important sunlight in partly cloudy environments than brown eyes. The difference between Hollywood's "Sexiest Man Alive" and some men considered unattractive is usually little more than one man wears glasses, and the other doesn't. Actually, eyes are the weakest part of the human body; some people without glasses have been blinded from being struck in the eyes. So wearing glasses can be a strength instead of a weakness.

Television portrays people with common character defects, whose appearance is slightly messy and who aren't clean-shaven or well-dressed as scumbags or sleazebags, and gives you gentlemen thieves and "heart

of gold” prostitutes, both with sparkly white teeth. Yet their behavior is filthy. People may look squeaky clean, while defiled speech comes out of their mouths. Some spread gossip; as someone said; “Come hear the dirt about so and so.”

I was treated like a teenager until age forty, instead of age-appropriately because of my youthful looks. Upon revealing my age, they’d often say: “No, you’re not!” So repent of these false judgments.

### The Elderly

Now for ageism: systematic discrimination towards people based on advanced chronological age: Life unnecessarily revolves around youth, especially when competitive sports are lauded. Besides team sports, other things are definitely sports, such as competitive chess, beauty pageants, and American Idol singing. In any sport, if you’re forty, you’re old, and discarded. In some sports it’s even younger. Even in mental sports with time clocks, youth is advantageous because older people’s minds work more slowly. Older people look stupid, despite having greater cumulative knowledge and other good qualities, which developed over decades. Even top sports techniques today originate from a hundred years of trial and error, from those long forgotten. Sports figures are idolized and make fortunes simply for entertaining, so much more than people who save other’s lives or shape their moral character make. This diametrically opposes every major religion, wherein older people have greater honor.

Many elderly people feel old and useless. The suicide rate isn’t highest among teenagers, but highest among senior citizens. After a fatal car accident, a newspaper headline blared WHY IS THIS MAN DRIVING? (an eighty-six year old man involved in the accident). Yet young people are responsible for the vast majority of traffic fatalities, so they shouldn’t drive, and if we argue that the elderly have a greater risk of heart attacks or strokes while driving, consider that 25% of men die in their forties and fifties. Therefore, only people between the optimal ages of thirty and forty should drive. Actually, I would get rid of cars altogether, but that’s another issue. So if you ever become eighty-six, and someone asks why you’re doing various things, perhaps you’ll say: “Because I haven’t ceased being human. “Old Age will only be respected if it fights for itself, maintains its rights, and avoids dependence on anyone, and asserts control over its own to its last breath---Cicero on Old Age; 44 BC.11

### The Youngest

The other end of the spectrum are the unborn. First, to support abortion as protection from rape’s consequences, some claim that one out of every three or four women are raped. But that’s a complete lie. Also, less than 1% of abortions are performed because of rape. And if all women realize that you have several days to report the rape and be de-fertilized, this should cause 0% of abortions to be on account of rape.

“Pro-choice” advocates decry that abortions cost much less than delivering babies, as if abortionists are taking pay cuts to help people. That’s deceptive; doctors who deliver babies are not constantly delivering them nonstop, and abortion is a very quick procedure. Therefore, doctors who perform abortions perform an additional procedure besides delivering babies; they fit abortions in between deliveries, thereby making money from two procedures instead of one. Furthermore, abortions bring in more dollars \*per hour\*.

Per embryos developing in Fallopian tubes, they can eventually regress into the uterus and be born normally. If not, they die and are therefore removed but not aborted. Moreover, “embryos” have a beating heart at 5 weeks, while “fetuses” have arms, legs, hands, feet, eyes, eyelids, and are moving at 7 weeks. These facts are documented by medical doctors.(12,13) We have no right to purposely stop an innocent human’s heart, brain, and body permanently and without their consent.

Some note: “Laws against abortion never stop it. Instead, thousands of women die from unsafe abortions where abortion is illegal. But that’s totally misleading because they fail to mention that millions of women survive especially unsafe abortions, while thousands of women also die from so-called safe abortions. Besides, we shouldn’t try to make it safe for someone to take an innocent human’s life.

A woman decried “All pro-lifers should be assigned a baby spared from abortion. Considering the great expense and trouble of raising it up, they’d probably change their view.” Yet someone else’s hypocrisy never justifies anything. I’ve been rejected all my life and was considered too much trouble; so I should have been aborted? Rather, I’m extremely thankful for my life. Furthermore, plenty of couples would like to adopt a child.

Another woman redefined unborn babies as “potential” humans the size of peas and grapes. So if you were shrunk with a shrinking ray, it would be okay for me to kill you? And not “potential” humans; “fetuses” become adult humans, never plastic bags, weeds, chimney soot, or cockroaches. Redefining unborn babies is a vain attempt to make killing them acceptable. As for the possibility of death from pregnancy, doctors don’t know for certain if a woman would die from childbirth. They abort the baby, certainly ending a human life, to protect another human life only from the possibly of ending, not the certainty of it. Then there’s the possibility of dying from the abortion procedure. And the evidence is overwhelming that first trimester “fetuses” DO feel pain.<sup>14</sup>

Some abortion clinics made money from selling body parts from aborted babies.<sup>(15)</sup> If that’s not creepy and perverse, what is? Defenders of the practice note that the purpose is stem cell research, which goal is finding a cure for paralysis and other serious conditions. But besides failing to find cures, stem cells from aborted babies were used to develop trivial products such as superfluous varieties of cosmetics and sweeteners. Instead, electronics has successfully cured some cases of paralysis. Also, stem cell research can take place without aborted babies, by taking them from stillborn infants.

Christianity consistently condemned all forms of abortion for two thousand years. But don’t think that Christianity or Judaism created the anti-abortion stance. It was also upheld in ancient Egypt, India, and Assyria. And those who practiced abortion also practiced child sacrifice.

Though “pro-lifers” often have unjustified war mentality, soldiers attack those who pose a threat and soldiers risk their lives, since their targets shoot back. Soldiers are brave. Those who perform abortions attack those who are innocent and pose no threat. Those doctors take no risk since their targets cannot fight back. Talk about picking on someone smaller than yourself. Besides, the abortion issue affects everyone, as human life is either precious or it’s not. Note that a common argument to support abortion is that an unborn baby cannot survive on its own and is fully dependent, to justify terminating its life. But born babies, toddlers, severely handicapped adults, and extremely old people are fully dependent too. Actually, nobody can survive on their own except especially hearty men trained in survival skills. When it’s open season on the unborn, the threat to all human life looms overhead.

Another argument is that we cannot agree on what constitutes human life and cannot agree on when human life begins. But that’s another misleading statement. What’s obvious is that human life exists when someone has arms, legs, hands, feet, eyes, eyelids, a functioning brain, a beating heart, and is moving. That’s what’s being aborted. And abortions are not performed for altruistic reasons. Ripping off that phony mask reveals the purely selfish reasons, such as women wanting to escape being women. For example, some radical feminists actually labeled all pregnancies a form of torture. And there’s an exact correlation between how liberal certain groups of people are and how much they favor abortion. The farther left they are, the more they’re pro-abortion. The farther right they are, the more they oppose abortion.

Pro-lifers seem to care more about the unborn than all types of people who were born combined. Certainly middle-aged single men are disregarded. But again, someone else’s hypocrisy never justifies anything. So oppose abortion and all other Relativist, Modernist nonsense, and embrace the original truth.

## Physical Disorders

Some women in Africa, after giving birth, acquire a fistula; a tear within the body resulting in an abnormal channel that connects two organs. The tear is usually in the bladder but sometimes the bowel, causing complete urinary incontinence and sometimes fecal incontinence.

For that their husbands divorce them and marry someone else, they lose their job and their friends, and they're banished from their house and must live out back. On buses people move away, make faces, fan the air, and hold their nose. These reactions are reprehensible. I've experienced multiple handicapped children going to the bathroom on themselves on a bus that picked them up every day; urine regularly ran down the aisle. Throughout history horses expelled large quantities of waste everywhere; a century and a half ago there were no flush toilets. These conditions were easily tolerated. And since the effected people have far outnumbered the surgical help available, this ostracism and intolerance is towards people who have no control whatsoever over their situation.

The lives of those with undesirable personalities parallels those with fistulas; we sometimes lose everything because of what comes from our mouth, pen, or mannerisms; characteristics which are based on unalterable brain wave patterns yet which can be easily overlooked or accepted.

### Exposing the Artificial Social Scale

In the 1960s sitcom *My Favorite Martian*, a Martian is adopted by Tim O'Hara as Tim's "Uncle Martin." In one episode, Martin has a benevolence bulb, a special light bulb that radiates Martians with a "like me" aura. Tim uses the bulb without Martin's permission, and discovers its opposite effect on humans; it gives them a "hate me" aura. Martin warns Tim to have no contact with anyone until he finds some "fluorencium" which will cure Tim's condition. Tim ignores Martin's warning; consequently his otherwise friendly landlady wants to evict him, he loses his job, loses his girlfriend, people scream at him through the telephone, and a small dog attacks his pantleg.<sup>16</sup>

I seem to have been zapped with a real life hate bulb but have no cure. People are hated for subtle personality differences which they cannot change, or that are a thousand percent harder for them to change than for other people, and people are popular by lying and telling others what they want to hear. Stop to think if your own reactions to others are irrational, and if so, immediately and permanently correct yourself.

### A Godsend for Misfits

By the time society accepts people's differences and adequately accommodates misfits, I might be long dead. And I wouldn't rely on psychology to try to change myself or other people; that rarely works. However, we have: Books. They provide a healthy escape from everyday life for social outcasts, those with physical limitations who cannot get out much, even for those in prison. Some readers feel like they are transported into different time periods, distant lands, and lived other people's lives. Unlike television and movies which often rub popular sentiment in your nose, many authors feel freer to express any number of things. There is something for everyone. Even audio-books are available for those who have bad eyesight or are poor readers. Writing can also be a godsend; it helps clarify one's thoughts and put things in perspective. This may be the best thing going until this present life is over, and God sets things right in the next one. An exceptionally uplifting book I read was *When Your Rope Breaks*.<sup>17</sup>

## Social Advocacy of the Radical Left

The following is my commentary on a newspaper article claiming that racial profiling dominates America, and is a form of bullying(18): Considering the Trayvon Martin case (an unarmed black youth shot by a security guard claiming self-defense), some wonder how many others are prosecuted because of “skin color.” Yet I would guess extremely few if any. Despite numerous wrongful imprisonment cases where defendants were innocent of their particular charge, they were guilty of other things.

Supposedly, many young people see others crossing the street to avoid them [because of race]. However where is the documentation to show that this happens? And if so, perhaps it’s for more than just race. Now how many women avoid all streets past a certain hour because of men, even in solid white neighborhoods? ALL OF THEM; that’s sex profiling.

Although many are followed in retail stores and suspected of theft just for who they are, I was one of them, which had nothing to do with race. And supposedly when many Americans realize that they are the only “person of color” in a room, they are afraid. Yet what substantiates that these fears are warranted? Although systematic persecution of young people is institutionalized bullying, institutionalized bullying is rarely practiced by non-blacks towards blacks in America. And why would bullying big strong, and loud black youths be worse than bullying non-black youths who are small, weak, quiet, or mentally handicapped? I’ve suffered institutionalized bullying; what about me?

Institutionalized bullying certainly is bullying that transcends schools or ages; a lifelong message that one person is not as good as another, which message I’ve received loud and clear. But black people who can find good jobs, find mates, have caring families, and enjoy life are victims just because some people look at them askance? Others who cannot find good jobs, cannot find mates, or cannot have healthy social lives are privileged just because they’re white? That’s sick beyond belief and extremely offensive.

Although Trayvon couldn’t change his race so his attacker wouldn’t feel justified, the tens of thousands of whites who were murdered, raped, and robbed by blacks couldn’t change their race to prevent being a target.

And saying that “WE” can all put down our guns and get along is nonsensical, implying that everyone is equally likely to misuse firearms. Nobody like me, my mother, or grandmother is a gangbanger or trigger happy thug; NOBODY. Summarily, falsely equating racial profiling with bullying or worse is politically correct sputum which diverts attention from true victims of bullying and social persecution.

There are predatory, remorseless murderers of all races, but only black murderers are defended relentlessly by liberals. And although people of all races have been guilty of racial discrimination, only blacks have perpetrated a litany of hoax racial attacks, robbing taxpayers to fund months long bogus investigations. These facts are documented in *Mugged: Racial Demagoguery from the Seventies to Obama*.<sup>19</sup> Yet ultraliberals say nothing about the fifty million slaves worldwide or India’s cruel caste system. Instead, they cry about supposed persecution of blacks in the United States, a country with black porn stars and politicians, and multimillionaire black actors and athletes. They also focus on discrimination of those who commit spurious actions while having full control over their situation, such as the extremely promiscuous who acquire AIDS on account of their behavior.

Another example is the plight of single mothers who got that way from fooling around with their boyfriends instead of saving themselves for marriage, or who unwisely disposed of their husbands. Since they caused their situation, taxpayers support them through welfare, and children without stable fathers are more prone to criminality, these types of single mothers are victimizers not victims. For a superb treatment of this subject, read chapter 2 in *GUILTY*.<sup>20</sup>

A new group that leftists support is transgender persons. I empathize with those who are unhappy with their birth gender and feel like members of the opposite sex. However, nobody NEEDS a sex change; nobody on Earth ever had one before 1952. And those operations and a lifetime of hormones cost a fortune, which



money could be used to buy artificial limbs for those who lack arms or legs. An Internet article does a fine job of exploring the irony between the left's simultaneous promotion of feminism and transgenderism. (21) Again, others who are disadvantaged through no fault of their own are often ignored. Leftists seek social equality without consideration of morals or justice, but rather on the mere fact of being oppressed. They even discriminate against members of groups which used to oppress others but no longer do. Leftists seek a classless society (when it's convenient for them), which crushes individuality and therefore renders the masses impotent. This is counterfeit social justice, or cultural Marxism. Besides, they, like everyone else, discriminate against those who simply have unusual mannerisms. So they are hypocrites in addition to being nasty people like those whom they criticize.

Division or distinction itself is not bad. Land masses and waterways are naturally divided. There is a God-given social scale, such as natural distinctions between men, women, and children or between governing authorities and ordinary citizens. But some divisions or standards are harmful. Dividing people into "weird" and "normal" and "having high standards which low classes don't" competes with natural, rightful divisions. So if your priorities are scrambled up, unscramble them and tackle this insanity. See XXVII.Social Lunacy 2.

